

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ABINGDON DIVISION

CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT
AT ABINGDON, VA
FILED

JAN 31 2014

JULIA C. DUDLEY, CLERK
BY: *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

ERIC FLORES,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
GENERAL AND FEDERAL BUREAU
OF INVESTIGATION,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:14CV 4

OPINION

By: James P. Jones
United States District Judge

Eric Flores, Pro Se Plaintiff, El Paso, Texas.

Eric Flores, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, seeks leave to file a civil complaint entitled, "Petition to Challenge the Constitutionality of the First Amendment." While I will allow the complaint to be filed without prepayment of the filing fee, I will summarily dismiss it as frivolous.

The complaint seeks to assert on behalf of a class of "Mexican American citizens of the United States" various claims, including that federal employees have been "useing [sic] advanced technology with a direct signal to the satellite [sic] in outerspace that has the capacity of calculating genetic code to cause

petitioners [sic] Uncle Jorge Salas severe heart pain . . . resulting in the death of . . . Uncle Jorge Salas.”¹

The complaint is patently frivolous. Based upon the affidavit submitted in support of the application to proceed in forma pauperis, I will allow the complaint to be filed, but it will be summarily dismissed. See 28 U.S.C.A. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) (West 2006); *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989). A separate order will be entered herewith.

DATED: January 31, 2014

/s/ James P. Jones
United States District Judge

¹ Similar claims by Flores have been summarily dismissed by other courts. See *Flores v. U.S. Attorney Gen.*, 531 F. App’x 939, 939 (10th Cir. 2013) (unpublished) (alleging that the government has used satellite technology to torture him and his immediate family); *Flores v. U.S. Attorney Gen.*, 378 F. App’x 473, 473 (5th Cir. 2010) (unpublished) (same). The Supreme Court has directed its clerk to accept no further civil petitions from Flores in forma pauperis. *Flores v. Holder*, 132 S. Ct. 2397 (2012).